

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/646,267 08/22/2003		Kathryn Lindsay Ball	CCI-007USDV	9453		
959	7590	01/06/2006		EXAMINER		
		IELD, LLP.	LUKTON	LUKTON, DAVID		
28 STATE S BOSTON, 1		9		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2001011, 11111 12107				1654		

DATE MAILED: 01/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/646,267	,	BALL ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		David Lukto	n	1654					
Period	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	ation appears on the o	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress				
WH - E a - If - F A	SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI stensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 fter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute ailure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will ny reply received by the Office later than three months after arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THI 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even ication. ory period will apply and will I, by statute, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION t, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 16 July 2004							
2a)[		)⊠ This action is no	n-final.						
3)[	_	•	vance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Dispos	sition of Claims								
4)[	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the app	olication.							
,-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)[	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7)[	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)[2	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> are subject to restriction	and/or election requ	irement.						
Applic	ation Papers								
9)[	$\Box$ The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.							
	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		objected to by the I	Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection	•	•						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th	e correction is required	d if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
11)[	$\centcal{\square}$ The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. Not	e the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priorit	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
	a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do	oumonte have been	raceivad						
	<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>								
				·	I Stage				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachm	ant/c\								
	ent(s) otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	,	4)  Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) 🔲 No	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	)-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT per No(s)/Mail Date		5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	atent-Application (PT	O-152)				

No claim has been added, deleted, or amended.

. . . .

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C.

§121:

لرز

- I. Claims 1-8, drawn to a method of inhibiting an activity.
- II. Claims 9-10, drawn to an assay method

Claims 11-12 are not grouped. Claims 11-12 will be joined with the elected group.

The claimed inventions are distinct. One is an assay method, the other is a method of inhibiting an activity. Neither method suggests the other; the method of Group I can be readily practiced without ever entering the scope of the Group II method.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is reminded that upon cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

. . . .

In addition to the foregoing, applicants are required under 35 U.S.C. §121 to elect disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

In the event that Group I is chosen for initial examination, election of each of the following is required:

- a) one of the following: (i) the G1 cdk is cdk4, or (ii) the G1 cdk is not cdk4;
- b) one of the following: (i) the "substance" referred to in line 2 of claim 1 is a single pure compound, or (ii) the substance is a mixture of at least two compounds;
- c) in the event that the "substance" (claim 1, line 2) is a mixture of at least two compounds, how many compounds are present?
- d) a specific "activity" of the G1 cdk that is to be inhibited.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a generic claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are witten in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentable distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. . 103 of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Lukton whose telephone number is 571-272-0952. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell, can be reached at (571)272-0974. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-1600.

DAVID LUKTON PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 1800